

On the ratio probability of the smallest eigenvalues in the Laguerre Unitary Ensemble

Max R. Atkin, Christophe Charlier, Stefan Zohren

November 3, 2016

Abstract

We study the distribution of the ratio probability between the smallest and second smallest eigenvalue in the $n \times n$ Laguerre Unitary Ensemble. The limiting distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is found as an integral over $(0, \infty)$ containing a function $v(x)$. This function is related to solutions of a system of ODEs associated to a Lax pair of a Riemann-Hilbert problem. We also compute asymptotic behaviours of $v(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $x \rightarrow 0_+$.

1 Introduction and main results

The Laguerre Unitary Ensemble (LUE) consists of the space of $n \times n$ complex positive definite Hermitian matrices endowed with the distribution

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{Z}_{n,\alpha}} (\det M)^\alpha e^{-n \operatorname{Tr} M} dM, \quad \alpha > -1, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tilde{Z}_{n,\alpha}$ is the normalisation constant and dM is the Lebesgue measure

$$dM = \prod_{i=1}^n dM_{ii} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d\operatorname{Re} M_{ij} d\operatorname{Im} M_{ij}. \quad (1.2)$$

The probability measure (1.1) is invariant under unitary conjugation and induces a joint probability distribution on the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ on $(\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ given by

$$\frac{1}{n! \hat{Z}_{n,\alpha}} \Delta_n(\lambda)^2 \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-n\lambda_i} \lambda_i^\alpha \chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\lambda_i) d\lambda_i, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\Delta_n(\lambda)$ denotes the Vandermonde determinant

$$\Delta_n(\lambda) \equiv \Delta_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) \quad (1.4)$$

and $\chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is the characteristic or indicator function with support on the positive half line. The normalisation constant $\hat{Z}_{n,\alpha}$, also known as the partition function, is given by

$$\hat{Z}_{n,\alpha} = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \Delta_n(\lambda)^2 \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-n\lambda_i} \lambda_i^\alpha d\lambda_i. \quad (1.5)$$

The theory of random matrices has enjoyed a growing interest and study for a number of decades in part due to the surprising number of connections between it and seemingly unrelated topics, see

for example [16]. Some classical references in the fields are [1, 2, 18, 21]. Probably the earliest appearance of random matrix theory dates back to Wishart in 1928 in the context of multivariate data analysis [24]. He was studying matrices of the form X^*X , where X is an $m \times n$ matrix ($m \geq n$) whose entries X_{ij} are independent and identically distributed complex Gaussian variables

$$\operatorname{Re} X_{ij}, \operatorname{Im} X_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2n}\right), \quad (1.6)$$

and X^* is the conjugate transpose of X . Such positive semi-definite matrices, called Wishart matrices, possess eigenvalues which are also distributed according to (1.3), where $\alpha = m - n$ is an integer. Since then, the LUE has been studied a lot, and has found applications in different areas, for example in finance (see e.g. [5], Section 12.2).

Some known results

The limiting mean eigenvalue density function is given by the Marchenko-Pastur law

$$d\mu(x) = \rho(x)dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{4-x}{x}} dx, \quad (1.7)$$

supported on the interval $[0, 4]$. Besides the eigenvalue density, other quantities of interest are related to the extreme value statistics of the eigenvalues. The most well-known extreme value statistics in the field of random matrix theory is the Tracy-Widom distribution [22] which describes the properly rescaled fluctuations of the largest (or smallest) eigenvalue of a matrix from the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE). This distribution is given by $\tilde{F}_2(x) = \exp\left(-\int_x^\infty (s-x)q^2(s)ds\right)$ and q is the Hastings-McLeod [15] solution of the Painlevé II equation $q''(s) = sq(s) + 2q(s)^3$ satisfying the boundary condition $q(s) \sim \operatorname{Ai}(s)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, where Ai is the Airy function. For the case of the LUE, the distribution of the rescaled fluctuations of the largest eigenvalue at the soft edge, at $x = 4$, is also given by the Tracy-Widom distribution $\tilde{F}_2$.

In this paper we focus on the hard edge, at $x = 0$, where the distribution of the smallest eigenvalue (denoted by $\lambda_{\min}$) is given by another distribution $F_\alpha(x)$ in terms of a transcendent of the Painlevé V equation, also proved by Tracy and Widom [23]. More precisely, one has

$$F_\alpha(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{n,\alpha}(4n^2\lambda_{\min} > x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \int_0^x \log\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) q^2(\xi) d\xi\right) \quad (1.8)$$

where $q(\xi)$ is the solution of the equation

$$\xi(q^2 - 1)(\xi q')' = q(\xi q')^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\xi - \alpha^2)q + \frac{1}{4}\xi q^3(q^2 - 2), \quad (1.9)$$

with boundary condition $q(\xi) \sim J_\alpha(\sqrt{\xi})$ for $\xi \rightarrow 0_+$, and J_α is the Bessel function of the first kind of order α .

That $q(\xi)$ is indeed a transcendent of the Painlevé V equation can be seen from the following transformation

$$q(\xi) = \frac{1 + y(x)}{1 - y(x)}, \quad \xi = x^2,$$

from which it can be readily checked that $y(x)$ is a solution to the Painlevé V equation which suitable chosen coefficients.

Another quantity of interest is the gap probability

$$G_{n,\alpha}(r) = \mathbb{P}_{n,\alpha}(\lambda_{\min} - \lambda_{\min} > r), \quad r > 0, \quad (1.10)$$

between the smallest and second smallest (denoted by λ_{smin}) eigenvalue of the LUE. Some results were obtained in [14], where it was shown that the density of $G_\alpha(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{n,\alpha}(\frac{x}{4n^2})$ exists and is characterised by the solution of a Painlevé III equation and its associated linear isomonodromic system. For results on the gap probability but at the soft edge, see [19] and [25]. In [20], using heuristics arguments and numerical simulations, the authors generalized the results obtained in [19] to a more general context.

The main focus of this paper is the distribution of the ratio

$$Q_{n,\alpha}(r) = \mathbb{P}_{n,\alpha} \left(\frac{\lambda_{\text{smin}}}{\lambda_{\text{min}}} > r \right), \quad r > 1, \quad (1.11)$$

between the smallest and second smallest eigenvalue of the LUE. Note that the ratio distribution $Q_{n,\alpha}$ cannot be straightforwardly related to the gap $G_{n,\alpha}$ and the distribution of the smallest eigenvalue, since the three variables λ_{min} , $\lambda_{\text{smin}} - \lambda_{\text{min}}$ and $\frac{\lambda_{\text{smin}}}{\lambda_{\text{min}}}$ are not independent.

Our techniques differ from the techniques used in [14], [19] and [25]. We express the ratio probability in terms of a Hankel determinant and then apply well-known rigorous techniques from Riemann-Hilbert (RH) problems analysis to derive asymptotics. We obtain a description of this quantity in terms of a solution to a special system of non-linear ODEs arising from a Lax pair of a RH problem.

Statement of results

We begin the calculation of the gap probability between the smallest and second smallest eigenvalue for general $\alpha > -1$ by writing the quantity $Q_{n,\alpha}(r)$ as an integral over a Hankel determinant. For $r > 1$, by definition of (1.3) we have

$$Q_{n,\alpha}(r) = n \int_0^\infty e^{-ny} y^\alpha \left(\int_{yr}^\infty \dots \int_{yr}^\infty \frac{1}{n! \widehat{Z}_{n,\alpha}} \Delta_{n-1}^2(\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{-n\lambda_i} \lambda_i^\alpha (\lambda_i - y)^2 d\lambda_i \right) dy,$$

where y can be interpreted as the smallest eigenvalue. By changing variables $n\lambda_i = (n-1)\tilde{\lambda}_i$, we can then write

$$Q_{n,\alpha}(r) = \frac{1}{\widehat{Z}_{n,\alpha}} \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^{(n-1)(n+1+\alpha)} \int_0^\infty y^\alpha e^{-ny} Z_{n-1,\alpha} \left(\frac{n}{n-1} y; r \right) dy, \quad (1.12)$$

where we defined $Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r)$ by

$$Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{yr}^\infty \dots \int_{yr}^\infty \Delta_n(\lambda)^2 \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - y)^2 \lambda_i^\alpha e^{-n\lambda_i} d\lambda_i. \quad (1.13)$$

Note that $Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r)$ is the Hankel determinant with respect to the weight $w(x)$ defined by

$$w(x) = (x - y)^2 x^\alpha e^{-nx} \chi_{[yr, \infty)}(x), \quad (1.14)$$

and $\chi_{[yr, \infty)}(x)$ is the characteristic function of $[yr, \infty)$, i.e. we have

$$Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r) = \det \left(\int_{yr}^\infty x^{i+j} w(x) dx \right)_{i,j=0, \dots, n-1}. \quad (1.15)$$

Before stating our results, we associate to each $r > 1$ the following set of coupled non-linear ODEs,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left(-4r - \frac{2V''(x)}{V(x)} + \frac{V'(x)^2}{V(x)^2} \right) + \frac{\alpha^2}{2U_0(x)^4} + \frac{2U_0''(x)}{U_0(x)} &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(-4r - \frac{2V''(x)}{V(x)} + \frac{V'(x)^2}{V(x)^2} + 4 \right) + \frac{2U_1''(x)}{U_1(x)} + \frac{2}{U_1(x)^4} &= 0, \\ V(x) &= \frac{x}{2} - U_0(x)^2 - U_1(x)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

where U_0 , U_1 and V are three analytic functions of x on $(0, \infty)$ depending on the parameter r with boundary conditions as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(x) &= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{r}}} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}), \\ U_1(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{r-1}} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}), \\ V(x) &= \frac{x}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{r}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{r-1}} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

As $x \rightarrow 0_+$, we have

$$U_0(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{-1/2}), \quad U_1(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{-1/2}) \quad \text{and} \quad V(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}). \quad (1.18)$$

The asymptotics (1.18) remain valid uniformly in r bounded away from ∞ , i.e. r has to be in compact subsets of $[1, \infty)$, while the asymptotics in (1.17) remain valid if r is bounded away from 1, i.e. $r - 1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ with ϵ a constant.

Our main results concern asymptotics for the Hankel determinants $Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r)$ and the limiting distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the ratio probability $Q_{n,\alpha}(r)$.

Asymptotics for the Hankel determinant $Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r)$

Theorem 1.1 *For $\alpha \geq 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x = x(n)$ lies in compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, we have*

$$\log Z_{n,\alpha} \left(\frac{x}{n^2}; r \right) - \log(\widehat{Z}_{n,\alpha+2}) = I(x; r) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \quad (1.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I(x; r) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x [v(4\xi; r) - v(0)] \frac{d\xi}{\xi}, \\ &= 2 \int_0^x \log \left(\frac{x}{\xi} \right) v'(4\xi; r) d\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

with $v(0) := \frac{1}{8}(4(\alpha+2)^2 - 1)$ independent of r and

$$v'(x^2; r) = -\frac{U_1(x)^2 + rV(x)}{x}. \quad (1.21)$$

Here U_1 and V are special solutions of the system (1.16), satisfying the boundary conditions as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $x \rightarrow 0_+$ given by (1.17) and (1.18). The function v satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} v(x; r) &= -\frac{1}{2}rx + \alpha\sqrt{rx} + 2\sqrt{(r-1)x} + \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}}\right), & \text{as } (r-1)x \rightarrow \infty, \\ v(x; r) &= v(0) + \mathcal{O}(rx), & \text{as } rx \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

Remark 1.2 In the regime as $(r-1)x \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.22), there are different cases. If $r \rightarrow \infty$, then the $\mathcal{O}$ term is just $\mathcal{O}(1)$. On the other hand, if $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $r \rightarrow 1$, then the $\mathcal{O}$ term is larger than $\sqrt{(r-1)x}$ if $(r-1)\sqrt{x} \rightarrow 0$. In this case, the first equation in (1.22) can be rewritten as

$$v(x; r) = -\frac{1}{2}rx + \alpha\sqrt{rx} + \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{r-1}}\right), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty, r \rightarrow 1 \text{ and } (r-1)\sqrt{x} \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.23)$$

Limiting distribution of the ratio probability $Q_{n,\alpha}(r)$

Theorem 1.3 For $\alpha \geq 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the limit $Q_\alpha(r) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n,\alpha}(r)$ exists and is given by

$$Q_\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha+2)} \int_0^\infty x^\alpha e^{I(x;r)} dx, \quad (1.24)$$

with $I(x; r)$ given in Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.4 The reason why we only treat the case $\alpha \geq 0$ and not $\alpha > -1$ is technical and will be explained later in Section 3.

Remark 1.5 It is a priori not easy to obtain explicit asymptotics for $Q_\alpha(r)$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ or $r \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, as shown in equation (1.24), we need uniform asymptotics in r and x in different regions for $I(x; r)$. For example, if we want to compute large r and large x asymptotics for $I(x; r)$ (which is needed for the large r asymptotics of $Q_\alpha(r)$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(x; r) &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \\ I_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{rM}} \frac{v(4\xi; r) - v(0)}{\xi} d\xi, \\ I_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{rM}}^{\frac{M}{r}} \frac{v(4\xi; r) - v(0)}{\xi} d\xi, \\ I_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{M}{r}}^x \frac{v(4\xi; r) - v(0)}{\xi} d\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

where M is a sufficiently large constant. The second equation in (1.22) allows us to write $|I_1| \leq \frac{C}{M}$, where C is a constant independent of r and x . Similarly, the first equation in (1.22) provides an estimate for I_3 . Nevertheless, the main contribution should come from I_2 , in the regime where rx tends to a constant. In this case $v(x; r)$ is not expected to possess asymptotics in terms of elementary functions, making the asymptotics for $I(x; r)$ hard to compute.

Remark 1.6 In [13], the authors expressed the density $p_2^{(n)}(\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\min})$ of the first two smallest eigenvalues, for finite n and in the special case where α is an integer, as a determinant involving the Laguerre polynomials. Therefore, it is possible to obtain the ratio probability with their result by the relation

$$Q_{n,\alpha}(r) = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{ry}^\infty p_2^{(n)}(y, y_2) dy_2 \right) dy. \quad (1.26)$$

Outline

In Section 2, we introduce a family of monic orthogonal polynomials in terms of which the Hankel determinant $Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r)$ can be expressed. We also use the RH problem for orthogonal polynomials introduced by Fokas, Its and Kitaev [12] and derive a differential identity in y for $Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r)$.

We apply the Deift/Zhou steepest descent method [10, 8, 9] on this RH problem in Section 6 to obtain large n asymptotics of $Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r)$ uniformly in y small enough.

In the analysis we will need a non standard model RH problem, which we introduce in Section 3. We derive a system of ODEs using a Lax pair in Section 4 and show asymptotic properties of certain solutions of these ODEs for $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $x \rightarrow 0$ in Section 5.

Finally we give a proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 in Section 7 and 8 respectively, by integrating the differential identity and using equation (1.12).

2 Differential identity for the Hankel determinant $Z_{n,\alpha}(y, r)$

In this section we relate the Hankel determinant $Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r)$ to a RH problem by making use of the orthogonal polynomials. We consider a family of monic orthogonal polynomials p_j of degree j characterised by the relations

$$\int_{yr}^{\infty} p_j(x) p_m(x) w(x) dx = h_j \delta_{jm}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the weight w is defined in (1.14) and h_j is the squared norm of p_j , given by

$$h_j = \frac{Z_{j+1,\alpha}(y; r)}{Z_{j,\alpha}(y; r)}, \quad Z_{0,\alpha}(y; r) := 1. \quad (2.2)$$

It will prove useful for the later analysis to consider $Y(z) = Y_n(z; y, r)$ the matrix valued function defined by,

$$Y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} p_n(z) & q_n(z) \\ -\frac{2\pi i}{h_{n-1}} p_{n-1}(z) & -\frac{2\pi i}{h_{n-1}} q_{n-1}(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

where q_j is the Cauchy transform of p_j defined by

$$q_j(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{yr}^{\infty} \frac{p_j(x) w(x)}{x - z} dx. \quad (2.4)$$

The function Y can be characterised as the unique function satisfying a set of conditions [12], known as the RH problem for Y , which are as follows,

RH problem for Y

- (a) $Y : \mathbb{C} \setminus [yr, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic.
- (b) The limits of Y as z approaches (yr, ∞) from above and below exist, are continuous on (yr, ∞) and are denoted by Y_+ and Y_- respectively. Furthermore they are related by

$$Y_+(x) = Y_-(x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w(x) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in (yr, \infty). \quad (2.5)$$

(c) $Y(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}))z^{n\sigma_3}$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, where $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

(d) $Y(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{w(z)}{2\pi i} \log(yr - z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ as $z \rightarrow yr$, where the principal branch of the logarithm is taken.

In [26, 27], the authors considered a similar but different RH problem, where they perturbed the classical Jacobi ensemble by adding n -dependent singularities to the weight.

Having introduced the above objects we are now in a position to state the following lemma which is central for the asymptotic analysis of $Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r)$. The identity (2.6) and its proof are similar to the one performed in [4].

Lemma 2.1 *The following identity holds,*

$$\partial_y \log Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r) = \frac{n^2 + (\alpha + 2)n}{y} + \frac{n}{2y} \text{Res} \left(z \text{Tr} \left(Y^{-1}(z) Y'(z) \sigma_3 \right); \infty \right). \quad (2.6)$$

Remark 2.2 Since Y is not analytic in a neighbourhood of ∞ , by "Res" we mean

$$\text{Res} \left(z \text{Tr} \left(Y^{-1}(z) Y'(z) \sigma_3 \right); \infty \right) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C(0,R)} z \text{Tr} \left(Y^{-1}(z) Y'(z) \sigma_3 \right) dz, \quad (2.7)$$

where $C(0, R)$ is the circle oriented counter-clockwise, centred at 0 and of radius R .

Proof. We begin by making the substitution $x_i = y\xi_i$, which gives,

$$Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r) = y^{n^2 + (\alpha + 2)n} \tilde{Z}_{n,\alpha}(y; r), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\tilde{Z}_{n,\alpha}(y; r) := \int_{[r,\infty)} \dots \int_{[r,\infty)} \Delta_n(\xi)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n (\xi_j - 1)^2 \xi_j^\alpha e^{-ny\xi_j} d\xi_j. \quad (2.9)$$

The above quantity may be computed by introducing monic orthogonal polynomials $\tilde{p}_j$ satisfying

$$\int_r^\infty \tilde{p}_\ell(x) \tilde{p}_m(x) \tilde{w}(x) dx = \tilde{h}_\ell \delta_{\ell m}, \quad \tilde{w}(x) = (x - 1)^2 x^\alpha e^{-nyx}. \quad (2.10)$$

Analogously to (2.2), we have

$$\tilde{h}_j = \frac{\tilde{Z}_{j+1,\alpha}(y; r)}{\tilde{Z}_{j,\alpha}(y; r)}, \quad \tilde{Z}_{0,\alpha}(y; r) := 1. \quad (2.11)$$

Recalling the orthogonality conditions for p_n given in (2.1), we easily obtain

$$\tilde{p}_n(x) = y^{-n} p_n(yx), \quad \tilde{h}_n = y^{-(2n+\alpha+3)} h_n. \quad (2.12)$$

A similar calculation for the Cauchy transform q_n appearing in (2.3) shows that

$$\tilde{q}_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_r^\infty \frac{\tilde{p}_n(x) \tilde{w}(x)}{x - z} dx = y^{-(n+\alpha+2)} q_n(yz). \quad (2.13)$$

Summarising, if we define

$$\tilde{Y}(z) := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{p}_n(z) & \tilde{q}_n(z) \\ -\frac{2\pi i}{h_{n-1}} \tilde{p}_{n-1}(z) & -\frac{2\pi i}{h_{n-1}} \tilde{q}_{n-1}(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.14)$$

we obtain the relationship

$$\tilde{Y}(z) = y^{-n\sigma_3} y^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\sigma_3} Y(yz) y^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\sigma_3}. \quad (2.15)$$

We now use the well known relation, which can be straightforwardly deduced from (2.11),

$$\tilde{Z}_{n,\alpha}(y; r) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \tilde{h}_i, \quad (2.16)$$

from which it follows that,

$$\partial_y \log \tilde{Z}_{n,\alpha}(y; r) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial_y \tilde{h}_i}{\tilde{h}_i}. \quad (2.17)$$

Now note that,

$$\partial_y \tilde{h}_i = \int_r^\infty \tilde{p}_i(x)^2 \partial_y \tilde{w}(x) dx, \quad (2.18)$$

where in the above line we have used the fact that $\tilde{p}$ and $\partial_y \tilde{p}$ are orthogonal. Combining the above expressions then yields,

$$\partial_y \tilde{h}_i = -n \int_r^\infty x \tilde{p}_i(x)^2 \tilde{w}(x) dx. \quad (2.19)$$

Using the above in (2.17) we have,

$$\partial_y \log \tilde{Z}_{n,\alpha}(y; r) = -n \int_r^\infty x \tilde{w}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{p}_i(x)^2}{\tilde{h}_i} dx. \quad (2.20)$$

The summation can now be removed by use of the Christoffel-Darboux formula in the form,

$$\tilde{w}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{p}_i(x)^2}{\tilde{h}_i} = -\frac{1}{4\pi i} \left(\text{Tr} \left(\tilde{Y}_+^{-1}(x) \tilde{Y}'_+(x) \sigma_3 \right) - \text{Tr} \left(\tilde{Y}_-^{-1}(x) \tilde{Y}'_-(x) \sigma_3 \right) \right), \quad (2.21)$$

where $\tilde{Y}_\pm$ correspond to the limiting values of $\tilde{Y}$ from above and below (r, ∞) . We now obtain,

$$\partial_y \log \tilde{Z}_{n,\alpha}(y; r) = \frac{n}{4\pi i} \int_r^\infty x \left(\text{Tr} \left(\tilde{Y}_+^{-1}(x) \tilde{Y}'_+(x) \sigma_3 \right) - \text{Tr} \left(\tilde{Y}_-^{-1}(x) \tilde{Y}'_-(x) \sigma_3 \right) \right) dx. \quad (2.22)$$

Combining the above with the fact that

$$\text{Tr}(\tilde{Y}^{-1}(z) \tilde{Y}'(z) \sigma_3) = y \text{Tr}(Y^{-1}(yz) Y'(yz) \sigma_3) \quad (2.23)$$

gives

$$\partial_y \log \tilde{Z}_{n,\alpha}(y; r) = \frac{n}{4\pi i y} \int_{yr}^\infty x \left(\text{Tr} \left(Y_+^{-1}(x) Y'_+(x) \sigma_3 \right) - \text{Tr} \left(Y_-^{-1}(x) Y'_-(x) \sigma_3 \right) \right) dx. \quad (2.24)$$




Figure 1: The contour used in establishing a differential identity for the Hankel determinant $Z_{n,\alpha}(y, r)$.

Consider the integral of $z \text{Tr} (Y^{-1}(z) Y'(z) \sigma_3)$ over the contour $\mathcal{C}$ shown in Figure 1. As $\mathcal{C}$ does not enclose any singularities this integral is zero and therefore we have,

$$\int_{yr}^{\infty} x \left(\text{Tr} (Y_+^{-1}(x) Y'_+(x) \sigma_3) - \text{Tr} (Y_-^{-1}(x) Y'_-(x) \sigma_3) \right) dx = - \int_{\mathcal{C}_0 + \mathcal{C}_\infty} z \text{Tr} (Y^{-1}(z) Y'(z) \sigma_3) dz$$

Using the property (d) in the RH problem for Y we see that there is no contribution from the integration along $\mathcal{C}_0$ and we are therefore left with only the contribution coming from $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ which yields,

$$\partial_y \log \tilde{Z}_{n,\alpha}(y; r) = \frac{n}{2y} \text{Res} \left(z \text{Tr} (Y^{-1}(z) Y'(z) \sigma_3) ; \infty \right), \quad (2.25)$$

The result follows from (2.8). $\square$

3 A Riemann-Hilbert problem related to the system of ODEs

We first introduce some notations for the sake of convenience. We define the piecewise constant function

$$\theta(z) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \text{Im} z > 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } \text{Im} z < 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$H_{-ax}(z) = \begin{cases} I, & \text{for } -\frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg(z + ax) < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -e^{\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } \frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg(z + ax) < \pi, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } -\pi < \arg(z + ax) < -\frac{2\pi}{3}. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

In the course of computing the asymptotics for the above Hankel determinants $Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r)$ one is led to consider a model RH problem, which we will denote by Φ its unique solution. The matrix-valued function Φ depends on parameters $x > 0$ and $a > 1$. As we will see later, x is related to y and a is related to r .




Figure 2: The jump contours appearing in the Riemann-Hilbert problem for Φ . The arcs Σ_j , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ depend on x and a . For convenience, they are chosen such that they do not contain $-ax$, $-x$ and 0 .

RH problem for $\Phi(z) = \Phi(z; x, a)$

- (a) $\Phi : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{x,ax} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ analytic, with $\Sigma_{x,ax} = \cup_{i=1}^4 \Sigma_i \cup \{-ax, -x, 0\}$ as illustrated in Figure 2.
- (b) Φ has continuous boundary values $\Phi_{\pm}(z)$ as $z \in \Sigma_{x,ax} \setminus \{-ax, -x, 0\}$ is approached from the left (+) or right (−) side of $\Sigma_{x,ax}$, and they are related by

$$\Phi_+(z) = \Phi_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\Phi_+(z) = \Phi_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_2, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Phi_+(z) = \Phi_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_3, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\Phi_+(z) = \Phi_-(z) e^{\pi i \alpha \sigma_3}, \quad z \in \Sigma_4. \quad (3.6)$$

- (c) As $z \rightarrow \infty$, there exist functions $p(x), q(x), v(x), q_2(x), v_2(x), r_2(x), p_2(x)$ depending also on a , such that Φ has the asymptotic behaviour

$$\Phi(z) = \left(I + \frac{1}{z} \Phi_1(x) + \frac{1}{z^2} \Phi_2(x) + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right) z^{-\frac{1}{4} \sigma_3} N e^{z^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_3}, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\Phi_1(x) = \begin{pmatrix} q(x) & iv(x) \\ ip(x) & -q(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_2(x) = \begin{pmatrix} q_2(x) & iv_2(x) \\ ip_2(x) & r_2(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (I + i \sigma_1), \text{ with } \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (d) As $z \rightarrow -ax$, Φ has the asymptotic behaviour

$$\Phi(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(z + ax) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2} \theta(z) \sigma_3} H_{-ax}(z). \quad (3.8)$$

As $z \rightarrow -x$, Φ has the asymptotic behaviour

$$\Phi(z) = \mathcal{O}(1)e^{\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2}\theta(z)\sigma_3}(z+x)^{\sigma_3}. \quad (3.9)$$

As $z \rightarrow 0$, Φ has the asymptotic behaviour

$$\Phi(z) = \mathcal{O}(1)z^{\frac{\alpha\sigma_3}{2}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Remark 3.1 It can be verified that the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ terms in asymptotics (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) are analytic functions.

Remark 3.2 The existence of Φ has been proved for $\alpha \geq 0$ in [3] (our situation corresponds to $I = (-\infty, -ax)$, $B = \{-x, 0\}$, $\hat{\alpha}_{-x} = 1$, $\hat{\alpha}_0 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $\tau_{\infty,0} = -\frac{1}{2}$ in the language of [3]).

4 The Lax pair and the system of ODEs

To derive the system of ODEs (1.16), we will use well-known method of isomonodromic deformation theory [11]. We begin by making the transformation,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z; x, a) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -x^{-1}v(x^2) & 1 \end{pmatrix} x^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{4}\pi i \sigma_3} \Phi(x^2 z; x^2, a). \quad (4.1)$$

The jump contour for $\tilde{\Phi}(z)$ is $\Sigma_{1,a}$ and is independent of x , while its asymptotic expansion as $z \rightarrow \infty$ is given by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{v(x^2)}{x} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left(I + \frac{1}{z} \tilde{\Phi}_1(x) + \frac{1}{z^2} \tilde{\Phi}_2(x) + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right) e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} \sigma_3} z^{-\frac{1}{4} \sigma_3} N e^{xz^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_3}, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q(x^2)}{x^2} & -\frac{v(x^2)}{x} \\ \frac{p(x^2)}{x^3} & -\frac{q(x^2)}{x^2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Phi}_2(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q_2(x^2)}{x^4} & -\frac{v_2(x^2)}{x^3} \\ \frac{p_2(x^2)}{x^5} & \frac{r_2(x^2)}{x^4} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.3)$$

By standard argument in RH analysis, $\tilde{\Phi}$ is analytic in $x \in (0, \infty)$. The Lax pair $(A, B) = (A(z; x, a), B(z; x, a))$ is defined by,

$$\partial_z \tilde{\Phi}(z) = A(z) \tilde{\Phi}(z), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\partial_x \tilde{\Phi}(z) = B(z) \tilde{\Phi}(z). \quad (4.5)$$

Since the jump matrices for $\tilde{\Phi}(z)$ are independent of z and x , A and B are analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{-a, -1, 0\}$. Using the asymptotic behaviour at $z = 0$, $z = -1$, $z = -a$ and $z = \infty$, it is easy to show that $A(z)$ is meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ with single poles at $-a$, -1 and 0 while $B(z)$ is an entire function. One has

$$A(z) = A_{\infty,0}(x) + A_{0,1}(x)z^{-1} + A_{1,1}(x)(z+1)^{-1} + A_{a,1}(x)(z+a)^{-1}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$B(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ z - u(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $u = 2\partial_x(v(x^2)x^{-1})$, $A_{0,1}(x)$, $A_{1,1}(x)$, $A_{a,1}(x)$ are analytic matrices in $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $A_{\infty,0}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{x}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

We now turn to the compatibility condition,

$$\partial_z \partial_x \tilde{\Phi} = \partial_x \partial_z \tilde{\Phi}, \quad (4.8)$$

which upon rewriting the derivatives in terms of the Lax matrices becomes,

$$\partial_x A - \partial_z B + AB - BA = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

If we parameterise A as

$$A(z; x, a) = \begin{pmatrix} d(z; x, a) & b(z; x, a) \\ c(z; x, a) & -d(z; x, a) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.10)$$

then (4.9) is equivalent to three coupled ODEs,

$$d(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x b(z), \quad (4.11)$$

$$c(z) = (z - u)b(z) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 b(z), \quad (4.12)$$

$$\partial_x c(z) = 1 + 2(z - u(x))d(z). \quad (4.13)$$

The first two equations provide d and c in terms of b . Taking the determinant yields,

$$\det A = -\frac{3}{4}(b')^2 - (z - u(x))b^2 + \frac{1}{4}(b^2)'', \quad (4.14)$$

where primes denote derivatives with respect to x . From (4.6) we have that $b(z)$ is of the form,

$$b(z) = b_0 z^{-1} + b_1(z + 1)^{-1} + b_2(z + a)^{-1}, \quad (4.15)$$

where b_0 , b_1 and b_2 depend on x and a . Equation (4.15) together with (4.14), allow us to compute the asymptotics of $\det A$ at $z = 0$, $z = -1$, $z = -a$ and $z = \infty$ in terms of b_0 , b_1 and b_2 .

Alternatively, we may compute $\det A$ at these four points using the asymptotic expansion of $\tilde{\Phi}$ there. Equating these asymptotics with those expressed in terms of b_0 and b_1 we arrive at the equations

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + b_0(x)^2 u(x) - \frac{1}{4} b_0'(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_0(x) b_0''(x) = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

$$b_1(x)^2 (u(x) + 1) - \frac{1}{4} b_1'(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_1(x) b_1''(x) + 1 = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

$$b_2(x)^2 (a + u(x)) - \frac{1}{4} b_2'(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_2(x) b_2''(x) = 0, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\frac{x^2}{4} - (b_0(x) + b_1(x) + b_2(x))^2 = 0. \quad (4.19)$$

These equations can be simplified by changing variables,

$$b_0(x) = U_0(x)^2, \quad (4.20)$$

$$b_1(x) = U_1(x)^2, \quad (4.21)$$

$$b_2(x) = V(x), \quad (4.22)$$

after which we obtain the first two equations in (1.16). On the other hand, by expanding the expression $A_{12}(z) = b(z)$ in a Laurent series about $z = \infty$, we get these following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(x) + b_1(x) + b_2(x) &= \frac{x}{2}, \\ b_1(x) + ab_2(x) &= -xv'(x^2), \\ 2b_1(x) + 2a^2b_2(x) &= \frac{F(x)}{x^3}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

where

$$F(x) = 2q(x^2)^2 + q_2(x^2) - r_2(x^2) - v_2(x^2)(2v(x^2) - 3) - (p(x^2) + q(x^2))v(x^2). \tag{4.24}$$

The first two equations in (4.23) can be rewritten in terms of U_0 , U_1 and V which correspond to (1.21) and the third equation in (1.16).

If we follow the same idea for B using the fact that this is an entire matrix function, we can express all the quantities involved in (4.24) in terms of v and v_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(2xv'(x) + v^2(x) - v(x)), \\ p(x) &= -v_2(x) + v(x)q(x) + 2xq'(x) - 2q(x), \\ q_2(x) - r_2(x) &= v(x)v_2(x) - 3v_2(x) + 2xv_2'(x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

5 Further properties of the special solutions

Our main goal in this section is to get asymptotics for $U_0(x)$, $U_1(x)$ and $V(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $x \rightarrow 0_+$.

Note that (4.23) and (4.25) allow us to express $b_0(x)$, $b_1(x)$ and $b_2(x)$ (and therefore $U_0(x)$, $U_1(x)$ and $V(x)$) in terms of $v(x)$, $v'(x)$, $v''(x)$, $v_2(x)$, $v_2'(x)$.

5.1 Asymptotic analysis when $(a-1)x \rightarrow \infty$

5.1.1 Re-scaling of the model problem

In order to have a jump contour independent of a and x , we make the transformation $C(z; x, a) = (ax)^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \Phi(axz; x, a)$. C is the solution of the following RH problem:

RH problem for U

(a) $C : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{a-1,1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) C has the following jumps

$$C_+(z) = C_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_1, \tag{5.1}$$

$$C_+(z) = C_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_2, \tag{5.2}$$

$$C_+(z) = C_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_3, \tag{5.3}$$

$$C_+(z) = C_-(z) e^{\pi i \alpha \sigma_3}, \quad z \in \Sigma_4. \tag{5.4}$$

(c) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$C(z) = \left(I + \frac{1}{z} C_1(x; a) + \frac{1}{z^2} C_2(x; a) + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right) z^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} N e^{\sqrt{x}z^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_3}, \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$C_1(x; a) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q(x; a)}{ax} & \frac{iv(x; a)}{(ax)^{1/2}} \\ \frac{ip(x; a)}{(ax)^{3/2}} & -\frac{q(x; a)}{ax} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C_2(x; a) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q_2(x; a)}{(ax)^2} & \frac{iv_2(x; a)}{(ax)^{3/2}} \\ \frac{ip_2(x; a)}{(ax)^{5/2}} & \frac{r_2(x; a)}{(ax)^2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.6)$$

(d) As $z \rightarrow -1$, C has the asymptotic behaviour

$$C(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(z+1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2} \theta(z) \sigma_3} H_{-1}(z). \quad (5.7)$$

As $z \rightarrow -a^{-1}$, C has the asymptotic behaviour

$$C(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) e^{\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2} \theta(z) \sigma_3} (z + a^{-1})^{\sigma_3}. \quad (5.8)$$

As $z \rightarrow 0$, C has the asymptotic behaviour

$$C(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) z^{\frac{\alpha \sigma_3}{2}}. \quad (5.9)$$

5.1.2 Normalisation at ∞ of the RH problem

We define the g -function by

$$g(z) = \sqrt{z+1} \quad (5.10)$$

where the principal branch is taken for the square root. As $z \rightarrow \infty$, g has the asymptotic behaviour

$$g(z) = z^{1/2} + g_1 z^{-1/2} + g_2 z^{-3/2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-5/2}), \quad g_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad g_2 = -\frac{1}{8}. \quad (5.11)$$

Now we define

$$W(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ i\sqrt{ax}g_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} C(z) e^{-\sqrt{ax}g(z)\sigma_3}. \quad (5.12)$$

RH problem for W

(a) $W : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{a^{-1}, 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) W has the following jumps:

$$W_+(z) = W_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i \alpha} e^{-2\sqrt{ax}g(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_1, \quad (5.13)$$

$$W_+(z) = W_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_2, \quad (5.14)$$

$$W_+(z) = W_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-\pi i \alpha} e^{-2\sqrt{ax}g(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_3, \quad (5.15)$$

$$W_+(z) = W_-(z) e^{\pi i \alpha \sigma_3}, \quad z \in \Sigma_4. \quad (5.16)$$

(c) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$W(z) = \left(I + \frac{1}{z} W_1(x; a) + \frac{1}{z^2} W_2(x; a) + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right) z^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} N, \quad (5.17)$$

where

$$(W_1(x; a))_{12} = \frac{iv(x; a)}{\sqrt{ax}} + ig_1 \sqrt{ax} \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$(W_2(x; a))_{12} = \frac{i}{6(ax)^{3/2}} (6g_1 ax q(x; a) + g_1^3 (ax)^3 + 6g_2 (ax)^2 + 3g_1^2 (ax)^2 v(x; a) + 6v_2(x; a)). \quad (5.19)$$

(d) As $z \rightarrow -1$,

$$W(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\log(z+1)}{2\pi i} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2} \theta(z) \sigma_3} H_{-1}(z) e^{-\sqrt{ax} g(z) \sigma_3}. \quad (5.20)$$

As $z \rightarrow -a^{-1}$,

$$W(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) (z + a^{-1})^{\sigma_3}. \quad (5.21)$$

As $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$W(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) z^{\frac{\alpha \sigma_3}{2}}. \quad (5.22)$$

On $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_3$, we observe that $\operatorname{Re}(g(z)) > 0$ and therefore these jumps are exponentially small when $x \rightarrow \infty$. Nevertheless, this convergence is not uniform as z approaches -1 and therefore we will have to construct a global parametrix which will be a good approximation of W as z stays away from a neighbourhood of -1 , and a local parametrix around -1 .

5.1.3 Global parametrix

Ignoring exponentially small jumps and a small neighbourhood of -1 , we are led to the following RH problem.

RH problem for $P^{(\infty)}$

(a) $P^{(\infty)} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^-$ is analytic.

(b) $P^{(\infty)}$ has the following jumps on $\mathbb{R}^-$:

$$P_+^{(\infty)}(z) = P_-^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in (-\infty, -1), \quad (5.23)$$

$$P_+^{(\infty)}(z) = P_-^{(\infty)}(z) e^{\pi i \alpha \sigma_3}, \quad z \in (-1, 0) \setminus \{-a^{-1}\}, \quad (5.24)$$

(c) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = \left(I + \frac{1}{z} P_1^{(\infty)}(a) + \frac{1}{z^2} P_2^{(\infty)}(a) + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right) z^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} N. \quad (5.25)$$

(d) As $z \rightarrow -1$,

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = \mathcal{O}\left((z+1)^{-1/4}\right). \quad (5.26)$$

As $z \rightarrow -a^{-1}$,

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) (z + a^{-1})^{\sigma_3}. \quad (5.27)$$

As $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) z^{\frac{\alpha\sigma_3}{2}}. \quad (5.28)$$

We can check that the solution of this RH problem is explicitly given by

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ i(\alpha + 2\sqrt{1-a^{-1}}) & 1 \end{pmatrix} (z+1)^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} N \\ \times \left(\frac{\sqrt{z+1}+1}{\sqrt{z+1}-1} \right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\sigma_3} \left(\frac{\sqrt{z+1}+\sqrt{1-a^{-1}}}{\sqrt{z+1}-\sqrt{1-a^{-1}}} \right)^{-\sigma_3}. \quad (5.29)$$

Note that

$$(P_1^{(\infty)}(a))_{12} = i(\alpha + 2\sqrt{1-a^{-1}}), \\ (P_2^{(\infty)}(a))_{12} = \frac{i}{12} \left(\alpha(2\alpha^2 + 19) + 6\sqrt{1-a^{-1}}(2\alpha^2 + 1) \right) - 2ia^{-1} \left(\alpha + \sqrt{1-a^{-1}} \right). \quad (5.30)$$

5.1.4 Local parametrix near -1

We want to construct a function $P^{(-1)}$ defined in a open disk D_{-1} around -1 of radius $\frac{1}{2}(1-a^{-1})$ which satisfies the following RH conditions.

RH problem for $P^{(-1)}$

(a) $P^{(-1)} : D_{-1} \setminus \Sigma_{a^{-1},1}$ is analytic.

(b) $P^{(-1)}$ has the following jumps:

$$P_+^{(-1)}(z) = P_-^{(-1)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i \alpha} e^{-2\sqrt{ax}g(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_1 \cap D_{-1}, \quad (5.31)$$

$$P_+^{(-1)}(z) = P_-^{(-1)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_2 \cap D_{-1}, \quad (5.32)$$

$$P_+^{(-1)}(z) = P_-^{(-1)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-\pi i \alpha} e^{-2\sqrt{ax}g(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_3 \cap D_{-1}, \quad (5.33)$$

$$P_+^{(-1)}(z) = P_-^{(-1)}(z) e^{\pi i \alpha \sigma_3}, \quad z \in \Sigma_4 \cap D_{-1}. \quad (5.34)$$

(c) As $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P^{(-1)}(z) = \left(I + \mathcal{O}\left((a-1)x^{-1/2}\right) \right) P^{(\infty)}(z) \quad (5.35)$$

uniformly for $z \in \partial D_{-1}$.

(d) As $z \rightarrow -1$,

$$P^{(-1)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\log(z+1)}{2\pi i} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2} \theta(z) \sigma_3} H_{-1}(z) e^{-\sqrt{ax} g(z) \sigma_3}. \quad (5.36)$$

The solution of this RH problem can be constructed in terms of the Bessel RH problem of order 0. Because we will need later of the Bessel RH problem for general α , we present it here.

The Bessel model RH problem of order α

(a) $\Upsilon = \Upsilon^{(\alpha)} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{0,0}$ is analytic.

(b) Υ has the following jumps on $\Sigma_{0,0} \setminus \{0\}$:

$$\Upsilon_+(z) = \Upsilon_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_2, \quad (5.37)$$

$$\Upsilon_+(z) = \Upsilon_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_1, \quad (5.38)$$

$$\Upsilon_+(z) = \Upsilon_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_3, \quad (5.39)$$

(c) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\Upsilon(z) = \left(I + \frac{1}{z} \Upsilon_1 + \frac{1}{z^2} \Upsilon_2 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right) z^{-\frac{1}{4} \sigma_3} N e^{z^{1/2} \sigma_3}. \quad (5.40)$$

(d) As $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$(i) \text{ If } \alpha < 0, \Upsilon(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} & |z|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ |z|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} & |z|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } \alpha = 0, \Upsilon(z) = \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} \log |z| & \log |z| \\ \log |z| & \log |z| \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(iii) \text{ If } \alpha > 0,$$

$$\Upsilon(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} & |z|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ |z|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} & |z|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } -\frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg(z) < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} & |z|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ |z|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} & |z|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } \arg(z) \in (-\pi, -\frac{2\pi}{3}) \cup (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi). \end{cases} \quad (5.41)$$

The solution of this RH problem is explicitly given in terms of the Bessel functions (see [17] or [4]), one has

$$\Upsilon^{(\alpha)}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{i}{8}(4\alpha^2 + 3) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pi^{\sigma_3/2} \begin{pmatrix} I_\alpha(z^{1/2}) & \frac{i}{\pi} K_\alpha(z^{1/2}) \\ \pi i z^{1/2} I'_\alpha(z^{1/2}) & -z^{1/2} K'_\alpha(z^{1/2}) \end{pmatrix} H_0(z), \quad (5.42)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Upsilon_1)_{12} &= \frac{i}{8} (2\alpha - 1)(2\alpha + 1), \\ (\Upsilon_2)_{12} &= \frac{i}{3072} (2\alpha - 5)(2\alpha - 3)(2\alpha - 1)(2\alpha + 1)(2\alpha + 3)(2\alpha + 5). \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

Construction of the local parametrix near -1

We can therefore construct the local parametrix using the model RH problem $\Upsilon^{(0)}$, one has

$$P^{(-1)}(z) = E(z)\Upsilon^{(0)}(axf(z))e^{\frac{\pi i\alpha}{2}\theta(z)\sigma_3}e^{-\sqrt{ax}g(z)\sigma_3}, \quad (5.44)$$

where

$$f(z) = g(z)^2 = z + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad E(z) = P^{(\infty)}(z)e^{-\frac{\pi i\alpha}{2}\theta(z)\sigma_3}N^{-1}f(z)^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4}}(ax)^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3}. \quad (5.45)$$

It can be verified that E is analytic in D_{-1} .

5.1.5 Small norm RH problem

Define

$$R(z) = \begin{cases} W(z)P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}, & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\overline{D_{-1}} \cup \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_3), \\ W(z)P^{(-a)}(z)^{-1}, & \text{for } z \in D_{-1}. \end{cases} \quad (5.46)$$

On ∂D_{-1} by (5.35), we have $R_-(z)^{-1}R_+(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(((a-1)x)^{-1/2})$ and by (5.13) and (5.15), on $(\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_3) \setminus D_{-1}$, $R_-(z)^{-1}R_+(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-c\sqrt{(a-1)x}})$ where $c > 0$ is a constant. From (5.17) and (5.25), as $z \rightarrow \infty$ one has $R(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$.

By the small norm theory for RH problems, it follows that $R(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(((a-1)x)^{-1/2})$ uniformly in $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus ((\partial D_{-1} \cup \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_3) \setminus D_{-1})$ and as $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$R(z) = I + \frac{R_1(x; a)}{z} + \frac{R_2(x; a)}{z^2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}). \quad (5.47)$$

We have in particular that $R_1(x; a) = \mathcal{O}(((a-1)x)^{-1/2})$, $R_2(x; a) = \mathcal{O}(((a-1)x)^{-1/2})$. One can also prove by a more detailed analysis that

$$\partial_x R(z) = \mathcal{O}((a-1)^{-1/2}x^{-3/2}), \quad \partial_x^2 R(z) = \mathcal{O}((a-1)^{-1/2}x^{-5/2}). \quad (5.48)$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\overline{D_{-1}} \cup \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_3)$, we have $W(z) = R(z)P^{(\infty)}(z)$ and therefore

$$W_1(x; a) = R_1(x; a) + P_1^{(\infty)}(a) = P_1^{(\infty)}(a) + \mathcal{O}(((a-1)x)^{-1/2}), \quad (5.49)$$

$$W_2(x; a) = R_2(x; a) + R_1(x; a)P_1^{(\infty)}(a) + P_2^{(\infty)}(a) = P_2^{(\infty)}(a) + \mathcal{O}(((a-1)x)^{-1/2}). \quad (5.50)$$

Using (5.18) and (5.49), we obtain

$$v(x) = -\frac{1}{2}ax + (\alpha + 2\sqrt{1-a^{-1}})\sqrt{ax} + \mathcal{O}((1-a^{-1})^{-1/2}), \quad \text{as } (a-1)x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.51)$$

Note that in the regime where $x \rightarrow \infty$ and a is bounded away from 1, the $\mathcal{O}$ terms in (5.48) are small. Using (5.18), (5.19), (5.49), (5.50), (5.48) and the system (4.23) we have finally, as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and a bounded away from 1,

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(x) &= \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{a}} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}), \\ b_1(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a-1}} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}), \\ b_2(x) &= \frac{x}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{a}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{a-1}} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.52)$$

If we express (5.52) in terms of U_0 , U_1 and V , we get (1.17) with $a = r$.

5.2 Asymptotic analysis when $ax \rightarrow 0$

In order to have a jump contour which doesn't depend on x , we make the following transformation on Φ :

$$\widetilde{W}(z) = \Phi(z)H_{-ax}(z)^{-1}H_0(z). \quad (5.53)$$

It is easy to verify that $\widetilde{W}$ satisfies the following RH problem.

RH problem for $\widetilde{W}$

(a) $\widetilde{W} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{0,0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $\widetilde{W}$ has the following jumps

$$\widetilde{W}_+(z) = \widetilde{W}_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \arg(z) = \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad (5.54)$$

$$\widetilde{W}_+(z) = \widetilde{W}_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in (-\infty, -ax), \quad (5.55)$$

$$\widetilde{W}_+(z) = \widetilde{W}_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \arg(z) = -\frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad (5.56)$$

$$\widetilde{W}_+(z) = \widetilde{W}_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} e^{\pi i \alpha} & 0 \\ -2 & e^{-\pi i \alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in (-ax, 0) \setminus \{-x\}. \quad (5.57)$$

(c) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\widetilde{W}(z) = \left(I + \frac{1}{z}\Phi_1(x) + \frac{1}{z^2}\Phi_2(x) + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right) z^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} N e^{z^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_3}. \quad (5.58)$$

(d) As $z \rightarrow -ax$, $\widetilde{W}$ has the asymptotic behaviour

$$\widetilde{W}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\log(z+ax)}{2\pi i} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2}\theta(z)\sigma_3} H_0(z). \quad (5.59)$$

As $z \rightarrow -x$,

$$\widetilde{W}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) e^{\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2}\theta(z)\sigma_3} (z+x)^{\sigma_3} H_0(z). \quad (5.60)$$

As $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$\widetilde{W}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) z^{\frac{\alpha\sigma_3}{2}} H_0(z). \quad (5.61)$$

In equations (5.75), (5.76) and (5.77), the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ are analytic functions in a neighbourhood of their respective point.

5.2.1 Global parametrix

As $ax \rightarrow 0$, the length of $(-ax, 0)$ tends to 0 and the two poles at 0 and $-x$ are merging together. Therefore, for z outside of a neighbourhood of 0, we expect that the Bessel parametrix of order $\alpha + 2$ will be a good approximation of $\widetilde{W}$. Of course this is not true in a small neighbourhood of 0 and we will construct a local parametrix around the origin.

RH problem for $P^{(\infty)}$

(a) $P^{(\infty)} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{0,0}$ is analytic.

(b) $P^{(\infty)}$ has the following jumps on $\Sigma_{0,0}$:

$$P_+^{(\infty)}(z) = P_-^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_2, \quad (5.62)$$

$$P_+^{(\infty)}(z) = P_-^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_1, \quad (5.63)$$

$$P_+^{(\infty)}(z) = P_-^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_3. \quad (5.64)$$

(c) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) z^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} N e^{z^{1/2}\sigma_3}. \quad (5.65)$$

(d) As $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z|^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} & |z|^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} \\ |z|^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} & |z|^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } -\frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg(z) < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \mathcal{O} \begin{pmatrix} |z|^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} & |z|^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} \\ |z|^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} & |z|^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } \arg(z) \in (-\pi, -\frac{2\pi}{3}) \cup (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi). \end{cases} \quad (5.66)$$

The only solution of this RH problem is

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = \Upsilon^{(\alpha+2)}(z). \quad (5.67)$$

Note that if we don't specify condition (d) in the RH problem for $P^{(\infty)}$, the solution is not unique. From a mathematical point of view, we remark that $\Upsilon^{(\alpha)}$ or $\Upsilon^{(\alpha+4)}$ for example could have also been a suitable choice for $P^{(\infty)}$, but $\Upsilon^{(\alpha+2)}$ is the only one which allows us to create a local parametrix around 0 respecting the matching condition (5.74).

In the construction of the local parametrix for $\widetilde{W}$ near 0, we will need a more explicit knowledge of the behaviour of $P^{(\infty)}$ at the origin. It can be verified (see [4]) that

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = P_0^{(\infty)}(z) z^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} H_0(z), \quad (5.68)$$

where $P_0^{(\infty)}(z) = P_{0,\alpha}^{(\infty)}(z)$ is an entire function in z for every α while

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2i \sin(\pi \alpha)}, & \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{i}{\pi} (-1)^{\alpha+1} \log \frac{\sqrt{z}}{2}, & \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases} \quad (5.69)$$

5.2.2 Local parametrix near 0

We want to construct a function $P^{(0)}$ defined in a fixed open disk D_0 around 0 which satisfies exactly the same RH conditions than $\widetilde{W}$ on D_0 and matches with $P^{(\infty)}$ on ∂D_0 .

RH problem for $P^{(0)}$

(a) $P^{(0)} : D_0 \setminus \Sigma_{0,0}$ is analytic.

(b) $P^{(0)}$ has the following jumps

$$P_+^{(0)}(z) = P_-^{(0)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \left\{ \arg(z) = \frac{2\pi}{3} \right\} \cap D_0, \quad (5.70)$$

$$P_+^{(0)}(z) = P_-^{(0)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in (-\infty, -ax) \cap D_0, \quad (5.71)$$

$$P_+^{(0)}(z) = P_-^{(0)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-\pi i \alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \left\{ \arg(z) = -\frac{2\pi}{3} \right\} \cap D_0, \quad (5.72)$$

$$P_+^{(0)}(z) = P_-^{(0)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} e^{\pi i \alpha} & 0 \\ -2 & e^{-\pi i \alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in (-ax, 0) \setminus \{-x\}. \quad (5.73)$$

(c) As $ax \rightarrow 0$,

$$P^{(0)}(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(ax))P^{(\infty)}(z) \quad (5.74)$$

uniformly for $z \in \partial D_0$.

(d) As $z \rightarrow -ax$, $P^{(0)}$ has the asymptotic behaviour

$$P^{(0)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\log(z+ax)}{2\pi i} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2} \theta(z) \sigma_3} H_0(z). \quad (5.75)$$

As $z \rightarrow -x$,

$$P^{(0)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) e^{\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2} \theta(z) \sigma_3} (z+x)^{\sigma_3} H_0(z). \quad (5.76)$$

As $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$P^{(0)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) z^{\frac{\alpha \sigma_3}{2}} H_0(z). \quad (5.77)$$

We can check that the solution of this RH problem is explicitly given by:

$$P^{(0)}(z) = P_0^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} z+x \\ z \end{pmatrix}^{\sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f(z;x) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} z^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2} \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} H_0(z) \quad (5.78)$$

where

$$f(z;x) = \frac{-z^2}{2\pi i} \int_{-ax}^0 \frac{|s|^\alpha}{s-z} ds. \quad (5.79)$$

5.2.3 Small norm RH problem

Define

$$R(z) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{W}(z)P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}, & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D_0}, \\ \widetilde{W}(z)P^{(0)}(z)^{-1}, & \text{for } z \in D_0. \end{cases} \quad (5.80)$$

The only jumps of R are on ∂D and we have $R_-(z)^{-1}R_+(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(ax)$ as $ax \rightarrow 0$. From (5.65) and (5.58), as $z \rightarrow \infty$ we have $R(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$.

By the small norm theory for RH problems, as $ax \rightarrow 0$ we have that R exists and $R(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(ax)$ uniformly in $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \partial D_0$ and as $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$R(z) = I + \frac{R_1(x; a)}{z} + \frac{R_2(x; a)}{z^2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}). \quad (5.81)$$

We have in particular that $R_1(x; a) = \mathcal{O}(ax)$ and $R_2(x; a) = \mathcal{O}(ax)$.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D_0}$, we have $\widetilde{W}(z) = R(z)P^{(\infty)}(z)$ and therefore, in the same way we proceeded in Section 5.1.5, we obtain as $ax \rightarrow 0$

$$v(x; a) = v(0) + \mathcal{O}(ax), \quad (5.82)$$

with $v(0) := \frac{1}{8}(4(\alpha + 2)^2 - 1)$. Furthermore, if a is bounded away from ∞ , we have as $x \rightarrow 0$ that

$$b_0(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}), \quad b_1(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad b_2(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{-1}). \quad (5.83)$$

We need explicit expression for $R_1(x)$ and $R_2(x)$ to get more precise asymptotics for $b_0(x)$, $b_1(x)$ and $b_2(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. If we express (5.83) in terms of U_0 , U_1 and V , we get (1.18).

6 Steepest descent analysis of Y

Define the spectral curve as

$$y(z) = \sqrt{\frac{z-4}{z}}. \quad (6.1)$$

together with the density,

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} y(x)_+ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{4-x}{x}}, \quad (6.2)$$

supported on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$, where $\mathcal{S} := (0, 4)$. We now introduce the measure μ with density $\frac{d\mu(x)}{dx} = \rho(x)$.

This measure μ is the unique measure which minimizes

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d\tilde{\mu}(x) d\tilde{\mu}(y) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} y d\tilde{\mu}(y), \quad (6.3)$$

among all Borel probability measures $\tilde{\mu}$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$, and is called the equilibrium measure.

The equilibrium measure μ satisfies the following identities, known as the Euler-Lagrange variational conditions:

$$2 \int_{\mathcal{S}} \log |x-y| \rho(y) dy - x = \ell, \quad x \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}, \quad (6.4)$$

$$2 \int_{\mathcal{S}} \log |x-y| \rho(y) dy - x < \ell, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \overline{\mathcal{S}}, \quad (6.5)$$

where ℓ is a constant.

We define the g -function by

$$g(z) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \log(z-x) d\mu(x), \quad (6.6)$$

where the principal branch of the logarithm is taken, meaning g is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 4]$. The g -function has a number of properties we will make use of, in particular

$$g_+(x) + g_-(x) - x - \ell = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (6.7)$$

$$2g(x) - x - \ell < 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \overline{\mathcal{S}}, \quad (6.8)$$

$$g_+(x) - g_-(x) = 2\pi i \int_x^4 \rho(s) ds, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (6.9)$$

$$g_+(x) - g_-(x) = 2\pi i, \quad x \in (-\infty, 0). \quad (6.10)$$

Let us also define,

$$\xi(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_4^z y(s) ds \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 4], \quad (6.11)$$

where the integration path does not cross $(-\infty, 4]$. By (6.9) we have,

$$g_+(x) - g_-(x) = 2\xi_+(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (6.12)$$

and using (6.7), we obtain the identity

$$2\xi(z) = 2g(z) - z - \ell, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 4]. \quad (6.13)$$

The jumps of ξ follow from those of g ,

$$\xi_+(x) + \xi_-(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (6.14)$$

$$2\xi(x) < 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \overline{\mathcal{S}}, \quad (6.15)$$

$$\xi_+(x) - \xi_-(x) = 2\pi i \int_x^4 \rho(x) dx, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (6.16)$$

$$\xi_+(x) - \xi_-(x) = 2\pi i, \quad x \in (-\infty, 0). \quad (6.17)$$

$$(6.18)$$

6.1 Transformation to constant jumps

Defining $\Psi(z) = Y(z)w(z)^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}$ we have,

RH problem for Ψ

(a) $\Psi : \mathbb{C} \setminus [yr, \infty) \setminus (-\infty, 0] \setminus \{y\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) Let $j_\Psi(z) := \Psi_-(z)^{-1} \Psi_+(z)$. Then,

$$j_\Psi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in (yr, \infty). \quad (6.19)$$

$$j_\Psi(z) = e^{\pi i \alpha \sigma_3}, \quad z \in (-\infty, 0). \quad (6.20)$$

(c) $\Psi(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) z^{(n+\alpha/2+1)\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{nz}{2}\sigma_3}$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

(d) As $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$\Psi(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) z^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\sigma_3}. \quad (6.21)$$




Figure 3: Jump contours for the RH problem for S . The lens contours are labelled Σ_+^γ and Σ_-^γ while the upper and lower lens regions are labelled Ω_+^γ and Ω_-^γ .

As $z \rightarrow y$,

$$\Psi(z) = \mathcal{O}(1)(z - y)^{\sigma_3}. \quad (6.22)$$

As $z \rightarrow yr$,

$$\Psi(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\log(yr-z)}{2\pi i} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.23)$$

6.2 Opening of the lenses

We now perform the standard step of opening the lenses. The difference here is that, since the jumps on the lens contours are constant, the lens contours are unconstrained. In a subsequent transformation we will use the g -function to normalise the RH problem at infinity, at which point the lens contours will be required to stay within a region in which they converge to the identity as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let D_0 and D_4 denote small and fixed open discs centred at 0 and 4 respectively and $U = D_0 \cup D_4$. We require the discs to have a radius greater than yr . Let us define $\gamma = (yr, 4)$. We now make the transformation $S(z) := \Psi(z)K(z)$ where K is a piecewise function designed to open the lens,

$$K(z) := \begin{cases} I, & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega_+^\gamma \setminus \Omega_-^\gamma, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } z \in \Omega_+^\gamma, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } z \in \Omega_-^\gamma. \end{cases} \quad (6.24)$$

The regions Ω_+^γ and Ω_-^γ are shown in Figure 3, as well as their boundaries $\partial\Omega_+^\gamma = \Sigma_+^\gamma \cup \gamma$ and $\partial\Omega_-^\gamma = \Sigma_-^\gamma \cup \gamma$.

The function S satisfies the following RH problem.

RH problem for S

- (a) $S : \mathbb{C} \setminus [yr, \infty) \setminus (-\infty, 0] \setminus \{y\} \setminus \Sigma^\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) Let $j_S(z) := S_-(z)^{-1}S_+(z)$. We have,

$$j_S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \gamma, \quad (6.25)$$

$$j_S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in (4, \infty), \quad (6.26)$$

$$j_S(z) = e^{\pi i \alpha \sigma_3}, \quad z \in (-\infty, 0), \quad (6.27)$$

$$j_S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma^\gamma. \quad (6.28)$$

(c) $S(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}))z^{(n+\alpha/2+1)\sigma_3}e^{-\frac{nz}{2}\sigma_3}$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

(d) Near the endpoints, the behaviour of S takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} S(z) &= \mathcal{O}(1)z^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\sigma_3}, & \text{as } z \rightarrow 0, \\ S(z) &= \mathcal{O}(1)(z-y)^{\sigma_3}, & \text{as } z \rightarrow y, \\ S(z) &= \mathcal{O}(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\log(yr-z)}{2\pi i} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K(z), & \text{as } z \rightarrow yr, \\ S(z) &= \mathcal{O}(1), & \text{as } z \rightarrow 4. \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

6.3 Normalisation at infinity

The next transformation takes the form,

$$T(z) = e^{-\frac{n\ell\sigma_3}{2}} S(z) \times \begin{cases} e^{-n\xi(z)\sigma_3}, & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{U}, \\ I, & \text{if } z \in U. \end{cases} \quad (6.30)$$

The above transformation has the effect of normalising the problem at infinity.

RH problem for T

(a) $T : \mathbb{C} \setminus [yr, \infty) \setminus (-\infty, 0] \setminus \{y\} \setminus \Sigma^\gamma \setminus \partial U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) Let $j_T(z) := T_-(z)^{-1}T_+(z)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} j_T(z) &= j_S(z), & z \in ((yr, \infty) \cup (-\infty, 0) \cup \Sigma^\gamma) \cap U, \\ j_T(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in \gamma \setminus \overline{U}, \\ j_T(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{2n\xi(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in (yr, \infty) \setminus \overline{\gamma} \setminus \overline{U}, \\ j_T(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-2n\xi(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & z \in \Sigma^\gamma \setminus \overline{U}, \\ j_T(z) &= e^{\pi i \alpha \sigma_3}, & z \in (-\infty, 0), \\ j_T(z) &= e^{-n\xi(z)\sigma_3}, & z \in \partial U, \end{aligned}$$

where the orientation of ∂U is clockwise.

(c) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$T(z) = (1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}))z^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\sigma_3}. \quad (6.31)$$

(d) Near the endpoints, the behaviour of T takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
T(z) &= \mathcal{O}(1)z^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\sigma_3}, & \text{as } z \rightarrow 0, \\
T(z) &= \mathcal{O}(1)(z-y)^{\sigma_3}, & \text{as } z \rightarrow y, \\
T(z) &= \mathcal{O}(1)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\log(yr-z)}{2\pi i} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}K(z), & \text{as } z \rightarrow yr, \\
T(z) &= \mathcal{O}(1), & \text{as } z \rightarrow 4.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

6.4 Global parametrix

Finally we define the global parametrix as a function $P^{(\infty)}$ satisfying the following RH problem,

RH problem for $P^{(\infty)}$

(a) $P^{(\infty)} : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 4] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $P^{(\infty)}$ has the jump relations

$$P_+^{(\infty)}(z) = P_-^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathcal{S} \tag{6.33}$$

$$P_+^{(\infty)}(z) = P_-^{(\infty)}(z)e^{\pi i \alpha \sigma_3}, \quad z \in (-\infty, 0). \tag{6.34}$$

(c) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}))z^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\sigma_3}. \tag{6.35}$$

(d) As $z \rightarrow \hat{z} \in \partial\mathcal{S} = \{0, 4\}$, we have

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = \mathcal{O}((z - \hat{z})^{-\frac{1}{4}}). \tag{6.36}$$

The solution is explicitly given by

$$P^{(\infty)}(z) = N^{-1} \left(\frac{z-4}{z} \right)^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} N \varphi \left(\frac{z}{2} - 1 \right)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\sigma_3}, \tag{6.37}$$

where $\varphi(z) = z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$.

We now need to construct local parametries valid in the fixed open discs D_0 and D_4 around 0 and 4.

6.5 Local parametrix near 4

RH problem for $P^{(4)}$

(a) $P^{(4)} : D_4 \setminus \Sigma^\gamma \setminus \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $P^{(4)}$ has the jump relations

$$\begin{aligned}
P_+^{(4)}(z) &= P_-^{(4)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } (-\infty, 4) \cap D_4, \\
P_+^{(4)}(z) &= P_-^{(4)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } (4, \infty) \cap D_4, \\
P_+^{(4)}(z) &= P_-^{(4)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \Sigma^\gamma \cap D_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$




Figure 4: The jump contour Σ_A for $\tilde{\Upsilon}$.

(c) As $z \rightarrow 4$, $P^{(4)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

(d) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $P^{(4)}(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})) P^{(\infty)}(z) e^{n\xi(z)\sigma_3}$ uniformly for $z \in \partial D_4$.

The solution $P^{(4)}$ can be constructed in term of the well-known Airy parametrix, the construction of which is standard and now briefly review. We first introduce the matrix-valued function

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}(z) := M_A \times \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ai}(z) & \text{Ai}(\omega^2 z) \\ \text{Ai}'(z) & \omega^2 \text{Ai}'(\omega^2 z) \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6} \sigma_3}, & \text{for } 0 < \arg z < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ai}(z) & \text{Ai}(\omega^2 z) \\ \text{Ai}'(z) & \omega^2 \text{Ai}'(\omega^2 z) \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6} \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } \frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg z < \pi, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ai}(z) & -\omega^2 \text{Ai}(\omega z) \\ \text{Ai}'(z) & -\text{Ai}'(\omega z) \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6} \sigma_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } -\pi < \arg z < -\frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \text{Ai}(z) & -\omega^2 \text{Ai}(\omega z) \\ \text{Ai}'(z) & -\text{Ai}'(\omega z) \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{6} \sigma_3}, & \text{for } -\frac{2\pi}{3} < \arg z < 0, \end{cases} \quad (6.39)$$

with $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$, Ai the Airy function and

$$M_A := \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.40)$$

The function $\tilde{\Upsilon}$ solves the following RH problem, see [7, 6].

Airy model RH problem

(a) $\tilde{\Upsilon}(z) : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic where Σ_A is shown in Figure 4.

(b) $\tilde{\Upsilon}$ has the jump relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Upsilon}_+(z) &= \tilde{\Upsilon}_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^-, \\ \tilde{\Upsilon}_+(z) &= \tilde{\Upsilon}_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \tilde{\Upsilon}_+(z) &= \tilde{\Upsilon}_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \tilde{\Upsilon}_+(z) &= \tilde{\Upsilon}_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \mathbb{R}^+. \end{aligned} \quad (6.41)$$

(c) As $z \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}(z) = z^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{4}} N \left(I + \frac{1}{z^{3/2}} \tilde{\Upsilon}_1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right) e^{-\frac{2}{3} z^{3/2} \sigma_3}, \quad (6.42)$$

with

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_1 = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & i \\ i & -\frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.43)$$

(d) As $z \rightarrow 0$, $\tilde{\Upsilon}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

The local parametrix inside D_4 can then be written as

$$P^{(4)}(z) = \tilde{E}(z) \tilde{\Upsilon}(n^{2/3} \tilde{f}(z)), \quad (6.44)$$

where $\tilde{f}(z)$ is the conformal map at 4 given by

$$\tilde{f}(z) := \left(-\frac{3}{2} \xi(z) \right)^{2/3} \quad (6.45)$$

and $\tilde{E}$ is defined in D_4 by

$$\tilde{E}(z) = P^{(\infty)}(z) N^{-1} \tilde{f}(z)^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4} n^{\frac{\sigma_3}{6}}}, \quad (6.46)$$

where the principal branch is taken for $(\cdot)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. It can be easily verified that $\tilde{E}$ is analytic in D_4 .

6.6 Local parametrix near 0

Inside D_0 we require a local parametrix satisfying the following RH problem.

RH problem for $P^{(0)}$

(a) $P^{(0)} : D_0 \setminus [yr, \infty) \setminus (-\infty, 0] \setminus \{y\} \setminus \Sigma^\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic.

(b) $P^{(0)}$ has the jump relations

$$\begin{aligned} P_+^{(0)}(z) &= P_-^{(0)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } (yr, \infty) \cap D_0, \\ P_+^{(0)}(z) &= P_-^{(0)}(z) e^{\pi i \alpha \sigma_3}, & \text{on } (-\infty, 0) \cap D_0, \\ P_+^{(0)}(z) &= P_-^{(0)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{on } \Sigma^\gamma \cap D_0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.47)$$

(c) As $z \rightarrow 0$, $P^{(0)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) z^{\frac{\alpha}{2} \sigma_3}$.

As $z \rightarrow y$, $P^{(0)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1)(z - y)^{\sigma_3}$.

As $z \rightarrow yr$, $P^{(0)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\log(yr-z)}{2\pi i} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K(z)$.

(d) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $P^{(0)}(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})) P^{(\infty)}(z) e^{n \xi(z) \sigma_3}$ uniformly for $z \in \partial D_0$.

The explicit construction of $P^{(0)}$ in terms of the model RH problem Φ introduced in Section 3 is given in the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.1 *As $n \rightarrow \infty$ and simultaneously $y \rightarrow 0$ in such a way that $n^2 y$ lies in a compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, the function*

$$P^{(0)}(z) = E(z) \sigma_3 \Phi(-n^2 f(z), n^2 f(y), f(yr)/f(y)) \sigma_3 e^{\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2} \theta(z) \sigma_3} \quad (6.48)$$

satisfies the RH problem for $P^{(0)}$, where E is the analytic function in D_0 given by

$$E(z) = (-1)^n P^{(\infty)}(z) e^{-\frac{\pi i \alpha}{2} \theta(z) \sigma_3} \sigma_3 N^{-1} \sigma_3 (-f(z))^{\frac{\sigma_3}{4} n^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}}, \quad (6.49)$$

f is the conformal map

$$f(z) = -(\xi(z) - \xi(0))^2 \quad (6.50)$$

satisfying $f'(0) = 4$ and $\Phi(z; x, a)$ is the model RH problem introduced in Section 3.

Proof. The asymptotics (3.7) is valid as long as $x = n^2 f(y)$ lies in a compact subset of $(0, \infty)$. By developing equation (5.80), we have that (3.7) still holds for x small, i.e. (3.7) remains valid for x in a compact subset of $[0, \infty)$. The rest of the proof consists to check that

$$P^{(0)}(z) e^{-n \xi(z) \sigma_3} P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1} = I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \quad (6.51)$$

uniformly for $z \in D_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that f is conformal and E is analytic. $\square$

Finally, we now define $R(z)$ as,

$$R(z) = \begin{cases} T(z) P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}, & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{U}, \\ T(z) P^{(0)}(z)^{-1}, & \text{for } z \in D_0, \\ T(z) P^{(4)}(z)^{-1}, & \text{for } z \in D_4. \end{cases} \quad (6.52)$$

Using the above definition we can derive the following RH problem for R .

RH problem for R

(a) $R : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic, where $\Sigma_R = ((4, \infty) \cup \Sigma^\gamma \cup \partial U) \setminus U$.

(b) Let $j_R(z) := R_-(z)^{-1} R_+(z)$. We have

$$j_R(z) = P^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-2n\xi(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \Sigma^\gamma \setminus \overline{U}, \quad (6.53)$$

$$j_R(z) = P^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{2n\xi(z)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in (4, \infty) \setminus \overline{D_4}, \quad (6.54)$$

$$j_R(z) = P^{(4)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n\xi(z)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{n\xi(z)} \end{pmatrix} P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial D_4, \quad (6.55)$$

$$j_R(z) = P^{(0)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} e^{-n\xi(z)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{n\xi(z)} \end{pmatrix} P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial D_0. \quad (6.56)$$

$$(6.57)$$

(c) As $z \rightarrow \infty$, $R(z) = I + \frac{R_1}{z} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2})$.

(d) As $z \rightarrow b \in \{0, y, yr, 4\}$, $R(z) = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

From (6.37), (6.44) and (6.48), as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} j_R(z) &= I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \quad \text{uniformly for } z \in \partial U, \\ j_R(z) &= I + \mathcal{O}(e^{-cn}), \quad \text{uniformly for } z \in \Sigma_R \setminus \partial U, \end{aligned} \quad (6.58)$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant independent of n . It follows from standard theory for small norm RH problem that R exists for n sufficiently large and satisfies

$$R(z) = I + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \quad \text{uniformly for } z \text{ in compact subsets of } \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_R. \quad (6.59)$$

6.7 Computation of R_1

The quantity R_1 can be computed via a perturbative calculation. From (6.58) we can write for $z \in \Sigma_R$,

$$j_R(z) = I + \frac{1}{n} J_1(z) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6.60)$$

where the matrix $J_1(z)$ is non-zero only on ∂U . By developing (6.59), the large n behaviour of R has the form

$$R(z) = I + R^{(1)}(z)n^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_R. \quad (6.61)$$

The quantity $R^{(1)}(z)$ may be expressed in terms of $J_1(z)$ by substituting (6.60) into the jump relation $R_+(z) = R_-(z)j_R(z)$, from which we obtain the following RH problem for $R^{(1)}$.

RH problem for $R^{(1)}$

- (a) $R^{(1)} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \partial U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is analytic,
- (b) $R_+^{(1)}(z) = R_-^{(1)}(z) + J_1(z)$ for $z \in \partial U$,
- (c) $R^{(1)}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

The above RH problem can be solved explicitly in terms of a Cauchy transform,

$$R^{(1)}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial U} \frac{J_1(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad (6.62)$$

where the integral is taken entry-wise. To obtain an expression for the leading term of R_1 we merely need to compute $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R^{(1)}(z)z}{n}$, one has

$$R_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i n} \int_{\partial U} J_1(\xi) d\xi + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.63)$$

Explicit computations using property (d) from (6.6) and (3.7) gives for $z \in \partial D_0$,

$$J_1(z) = \frac{v(n^2 f(y); f(ry)/f(y))}{2\sqrt{-f(z)}} P^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -ie^{-i\pi\alpha\theta(z)} \\ -ie^{i\pi\alpha\theta(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1}, \quad (6.64)$$

The function $v(x; a)$ is the special function appearing in the model problem Φ . $J_1(z)$ on ∂D_4 is given by,

$$J_1(z) = \frac{1}{8\tilde{f}(z)^{3/2}} P^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & i \\ i & -\frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix} P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1} \quad (6.65)$$

Putting this together and using the above in (6.63) gives,

$$n \text{Tr}(R_1 \sigma_3) = v(n^2 f(y); f(ry)/f(y)) - v(0) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6.66)$$

where $v(0) = \frac{1}{8}(4(\alpha + 2)^2 - 1)$.

7 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to utilise Lemma 2.1 we require large n asymptotics for the quantity Y uniform in z . The outcome of the steepest descent analysis is that for z bounded away from $\{0, 4\}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $y \rightarrow 0$ in such a way that $n^2 y$ is a compact subset of $[0, \infty)$ we have,

$$Y(z) = e^{\frac{n\ell\sigma_3}{2}} R(z) P^{(\infty)}(z) e^{n\xi(z)\sigma_3} w(z)^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}}. \quad (7.1)$$

Using the above expression for Y we first compute,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(Y^{-1}(z) \partial_z Y(z) \sigma_3) &= -\partial_z \log w(z) + 2n \partial_z \xi(z) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1} \partial_z P^{(\infty)}(z) \sigma_3) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1} R(z)^{-1} \partial_z R(z) P^{(\infty)}(z) \sigma_3), \end{aligned}$$

into which the explicit expression for the functions on the right hand side must be substituted.

We now consider of the above expression as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Using (6.37) one can show,

$$\text{Tr}(P^{(\infty)}(z)^{-1} \partial_z P^{(\infty)}(z) \sigma_3) = \frac{\alpha + 2}{z} + \frac{2\alpha + 4}{z^2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \quad (7.2)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, we have also,

$$-\partial_z \log w(z) + 2n \partial_z \xi(z) = 2n \left(\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z^2} \right) - \frac{\alpha + 2}{z} - \frac{2y}{z^2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \quad (7.3)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Using the above expressions in Lemma 2.1 gives,

$$\partial_y \log Z_{n,\alpha}(y; r) = n + \frac{n}{2y} \text{Tr}(R_1 \sigma_3). \quad (7.4)$$

By using (6.66) in (7.4) and rewriting it in terms of $x := n^2 y$, we have as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and x in compact subsets of $[0, \infty)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \log Z_{n,\alpha} \left(\frac{x}{n^2}; r \right) &= \frac{1}{n} + \frac{n}{2x} \text{Tr}(R_1 \sigma_3), \\ &= \frac{1}{2x} \left(v \left(n^2 f(xn^{-2}); \frac{f(rxn^{-2})}{f(xn^{-2})} \right) - v(0) \right) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \\ &= \frac{1}{2x} (v(4x; r) - v(0)) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

where the above expression (7.5) is valid uniformly for x in compact subsets of $[0, \infty)$. Integrating (7.5) from $\epsilon > 0$ to a constant $x > \epsilon$, we obtain

$$\log \left(\frac{Z_{n,\alpha}(xn^{-2}; r)}{Z_{n,\alpha}(\epsilon n^{-2}; r)} \right) = \int_{\epsilon}^x \partial_{\zeta} \log Z_{n,\alpha} \left(\frac{\zeta}{n^2}; r \right) d\zeta. \quad (7.6)$$

Note that the left-hand-side of (7.6) is bounded for x and ϵ in compact subsets of $[0, \infty)$ and for large n . On the other hand, by (6.61), $\text{Tr}(R_1 \sigma_3)$ is expandable in powers of n^{-1} . Therefore, taking first the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and after $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, noting that

$$Z_{n,\alpha}(0; r) = \widehat{Z}_{n,\alpha+2}, \quad (7.7)$$

we have

$$\log \left(\frac{Z_{n,\alpha}(xn^{-2}; r)}{\widehat{Z}_{n,\alpha+2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x [v(4\zeta; r) - v(0)] \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}). \quad (7.8)$$

This can be rewritten as

$$Z_{n,\alpha}(xn^{-2}; r) = \widehat{Z}_{n,\alpha+2} e^{I(x;r)} (1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})), \quad (7.9)$$

where the notation $I(x; r)$ has been introduced in (1.20). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

8 Proof of Theorem 1.3

From (1.12) with the change of variable $x := n^2 y$, we obtain

$$Q_{n,\alpha}(r) = \frac{\widehat{Z}_{n-1,\alpha+2}}{\widehat{Z}_{n,\alpha}} \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right)^{(n-1)(n+1+\alpha)} n^{-2-\alpha} \int_0^\infty x^\alpha e^{-\frac{x}{n}} \frac{1}{\widehat{Z}_{n-1,\alpha+2}} Z_{n-1,\alpha} \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \frac{x}{n^2}; r \right) dx. \quad (8.1)$$

It is known that (see [18])

$$\widehat{Z}_{n,\alpha} = \frac{1}{n!} n^{-n^2-\alpha n} \prod_{j=1}^n j! \Gamma(j+\alpha), \quad (8.2)$$

and therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\widehat{Z}_{n-1,\alpha+2}}{\widehat{Z}_{n,\alpha}} &= \frac{(n-1)^{\alpha+1} \Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{(n-1)! \Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\alpha+2)} \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right)^{n^2+\alpha n}, \\ &= \frac{n^{2\alpha+2}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\alpha+2)} \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right)^{n^2+\alpha n} (1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (8.3)$$

We can thus simplify expression (8.1) as

$$Q_{n,\alpha}(r) = \frac{1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})}{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\alpha+2)} (I_1 + I_2), \quad (8.4)$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^M x^\alpha e^{\frac{x}{n}} e^{I(x;r)} dx, \quad I_2 = \int_M^\infty x^\alpha e^{-\frac{x}{n}} \frac{1}{\widehat{Z}_{n-1,\alpha+2}} Z_{n-1,\alpha} \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \frac{x}{n^2}; r \right) dx, \quad (8.5)$$

and $M > 0$ is a constant.

Furthermore, we can derive the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Z_{n-1,\alpha}(\frac{x}{n^2}; r)}{\widehat{Z}_{n-1,\alpha+2}} &= \frac{1}{\widehat{Z}_{n-1,\alpha+2}} \int_{\frac{xr}{n^2}}^\infty \dots \int_{\frac{xr}{n^2}}^\infty \Delta_{n-1}(\xi)^2 \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\xi_i - \frac{x}{n^2} \right)^2 \xi_i^\alpha e^{-n\xi_i} d\xi_i \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\widehat{Z}_{n-1,\alpha+2}} \int_{\frac{xr}{n^2}}^\infty \dots \int_{\frac{xr}{n^2}}^\infty \Delta_{n-1}(\xi)^2 \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i^{\alpha+2} e^{-n\xi_i} d\xi_i \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{n-1,\alpha+2} \left(\lambda_{\min} > \frac{xr}{n^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

It is well-known [23] that the above quantity is bounded by e^{-Cxr} for sufficiently large x and n , and where $C > 0$ is a constant. We thus have

$$I_2 \leq \int_M^\infty x^\alpha e^{-\frac{x}{n}} e^{-Cxr} dx \leq e^{-\frac{C}{2}Mr}, \quad (8.7)$$

if M is chosen big enough. Therefore we obtain,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n,\alpha}(r) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\alpha+2)} \int_0^M x^\alpha e^{I(x;r)} dx + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\frac{C}{2}Mr}). \quad (8.8)$$

Letting $M \rightarrow \infty$ in (8.8) finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Tom Claeys for useful discussions. MA and CC were supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007/2013)/ ERC Grant Agreement n. 307074, and CC was also supported by F.R.S.-F.N.R.S. SZ was funded by Nokia Technologies, Lockheed Martin and the University of Oxford through the quantum Optimisation and Machine Learning (QuOpaL) project.

References

- [1] G. Akemann, J. Baik and P. D. Francesco, *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2011.
- [2] G.W. Anderson, A. Guionnet, and O. Zeitouni, *An introduction to random matrices*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **118** (2010).
- [3] M. Atkin, A Riemann-Hilbert problem for equations of Painlevé type in the one matrix model with semi-classical potential, to appear in *Comm. Math. Phys.*
- [4] M. Atkin, T. Claeys, F. Mezzadri, Random matrix ensembles with singularities and a hierarchy of Painlevé III equations, *Int. Math. Res. Notices* 2015, doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnv195.
- [5] Z. Bai, J.W. Silverstein. *Spectral Analysis of Large Dimensional Random Matrices. Second Edition.* **20** (2010), Springer, New York.
- [6] P. Bleher, Lectures on random matrix models. The Riemann-Hilbert approach. Random matrices, random processes and integrable systems (2011), 251–349, *CRM Ser. Math. Phys.*, Springer, New York.
- [7] T. Claeys, T. Grava, K. T-R McLaughlin, Asymptotics for the partition function in two-cut random matrix models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **339** (2015), no. 2, 513–587.
- [8] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K.T-R McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **52** (1999), 1335–1425.
- [9] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K.T-R McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **52** (1999), 1491–1552.
- [10] P. Deift and X. Zhou, A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **26** (1992), no. 1, 119–123.
- [11] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, A.A Kapaev and V.Y. Novokshenov, *Painlevé Transcendents: The Riemann-Hilbert Approach*, American Mathematical Soc. **128**, 2006.
- [12] A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, and A.V. Kitaev, The isomonodromy approach to matrix models in 2D quantum gravity, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992), no. 2, 395–430.
- [13] P. J. Forrester, T. D. Hughes, Complex Wishart matrices and conductance in mesoscopic systems: exact results, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994), no. 12, 6736–6747.

- [14] P. J. Forrester, N. S. Witte, The distribution of the first eigenvalue spacing at the hard edge of the Laguerre unitary ensemble, *Kyushu J. Math.* **61** (2007), no. 2, 457–526.
- [15] S. P. Hastings, J. B. McLeod, A boundary value problem associated with the second Painlevé transcendent and the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **73** (1980), no. 1, 31–51.
- [16] J.P. Keating and N.C. Snaith, Random matrix theory and $\zeta(1/2 + it)$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214** (2001), 57–89.
- [17] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, K. T.-R. McLaughlin, W. Van Assche, M. Vanlessen, The Riemann-Hilbert approach to strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials on $[-1, 1]$. *Adv. Math.* **188** (2004), no. 2, 337–398.
- [18] Mehta M.L., Random matrices, Third Edition, *Pure and Applied Mathematics Series* **142**, Elsevier Academic Press, 2004.
- [19] A. Perret, G. Schehr, Near-extreme eigenvalues and the first gap of Hermitian random matrices, *J. Stat. Phys.* **156** (2014), no. 5, 843–876.
- [20] A. Perret, G. Schehr, The density of eigenvalues seen from the soft edge of random matrices in the Gaussian β -ensembles, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **46** (2015), no. 9, 1693–1707.
- [21] T. Tao, *Topics in random matrix theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2012).
- [22] C. A. Tracy, H. Widom. Level-spacing distributions and the Airy kernel. *Phys. Lett. B* **305** (1993), no. 1-2, 115–118.
- [23] C. A. Tracy, H. Widom. Level spacing distributions and the Bessel kernel. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **161** (1994), no. 2, 289–309.
- [24] J. Wishart, The generalized product moment distribution in samples from a normal multivariate population, *Biometrika A* **20** (1928), 32–52.
- [25] N.S. Witte, F. Bornemann, P.J. Forrester, Joint distribution of the first and second eigenvalues at the soft edge of unitary ensembles, *Nonlinearity* **26** (2013), no. 6, 1799–1822.
- [26] S.-X. Xu, Y.-Q. Zhao, Critical edge behavior in the modified Jacobi ensemble and the Painlevé V transcendents, *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013), no. 8, 083304, 29 pp.
- [27] S.-X. Xu, Y.-Q. Zhao, Critical edge behavior in the modified Jacobi ensemble and Painlevé equations, *Nonlinearity* **28** (2015), no. 6, 16331674.